



Overview of Input to the [Oregon Pediatric Improvement Partnership \(OPIP\)](#) on Recommendations to the Metrics and Scoring Committee on the Benchmark for the 2025 Child-Level Metric on [Issue-Focused Interventions](#) Addressing Young Children’s [Social-Emotional Health](#)

Background and Purpose of Virtual Input Sessions:

In their **July 2024** meeting, the **Metrics and Scoring Committee** unanimously voted to include the Oregon Pediatric Improvement Partnership (OPIP)-developed [Child-Level Social-Emotional Health Metric](#) in the 2025 incentive metric set. This child-level metric is the first metric of its kind in the nation, and there is no national benchmark for it. At the **September 2024 meeting** of the **Metrics and Scoring Committee**, OPIP will present its recommendations and considerations for establishing a benchmark for this unique metric. To ensure that all aspects of the measure development and implementation processes are community informed, OPIP is holding virtual input sessions on its draft recommendations to gather community feedback.

Input Obtained:

OPIP held the following input sessions:

- **OPIP parent advisors:** OPIP obtained input and guidance from parent advisors, who are parents of children who are enrolled in Coordinated Care Organizations (CCOs) and had a child who needed social-emotional, issues-focused interventions when their children were aged birth to five.
- We held a total of five virtual input sessions on 8/28, 8/29, 9/5, 9/6 and 9/11.
 - Three of the input sessions were for people from parent advocacy organizations, Early Learning Hubs, behavioral health providers, primary care provider and other social emotional health providers.
 - Two input sessions were specifically for Coordinated Care Organizations and/or health plans with CCOs. Of the 16 CCOs, 26 individuals provided feedback with representatives from 15/16 attending. attended and provided input.
 - **Appendix A** provides an example of the Input Session slides that were used.

Agreement with the Approach and Parameters OPIP is Using

- Nearly all of the participants (all but one of the 42 people that provided input) agreed with the parameters (Slide 17) . The one person who didn’t, later went on to highlight additional context that would elevate a further defining of Parameter #3 and reasons why the benchmark should be set with caution and thoughtfulness given the type of metric and a need for ongoing information about improvement targets that can be expected ongoing.